Appendix 12E

Foul Water Technical Note

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

SITE CHARACTERISATION FORM

COMPLETING THE FORM

	Note	e: This form requires the latest version of Adobe Acrobat Reader
		and on PC's Windows 7 or later. Windows XP produces errors in calculations
Step	o 1:	
		Goto Menu Item File, Save As and save the file under a reference relating to the client or the planning application reference if available.
Clear	r Form	Use the Clear Form button to clear all information fields.

Notes:

All calculations in this form are automatic.

Where possible information is presented in the form of drop down selection lists to eliminate potential errors.

Variable elements are recorded by tick boxes. In all cases only one tick box should be activated.

All time record fields must be entered in twenty four hour format as follows: HH:MM

All date formats are DD-MM-YYYY.

All other data fields are in text entry format.

This form can be printed out fully populated for submission with related documents and for your files. It can also be submitted by email.

- **Section 3.2** In this section use an underline _____ across all six columns to indicate the depth at which changes in classification / characteristics occur.
- Section 3.4 Lists supporting documentation required.
- **Section 4** Select the treatment systems suitable for this site and the discharge route.
- **Section 5** Indicate the system type that it is proposed to install.
- **Section 6** Provide details, as required, on the proposed treatment system.

APPENDIX A: SITE CHARACTERISATION FORM

File Reference:

Prefix: First Name: IRISH DRILLING Surname: Address: Site Location and Townland: TRIAL HOLE NUMBER 1 (REAR OF SITE) DERRYGREENAGH Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: 4 Comments on population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Construction Group Well/Borehole Soil Type, (Specify Type): PEAT Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Locally Important Poor Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Locally Important Poor Pi Status Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeclogical, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS
Address: Site Location and Townland: TRIAL HOLE NUMBER 1 (REAR OF SITE) DERRYGREENAGH Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: 4 Comments on population equivalent Group Well/Borehole Proposed Water Supply: Private Well/Borehole Mains Private Well/Borehole Group Well/Borehole 2.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application) Soll Type, (Specify Type): PEAT Subsoil, (Specify Type): PEAT PEAT Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Poor PI Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Ø Groundwater Body: Status
TRIAL HOLE NUMBER 1 (REAR OF SITE) DERRYGREENAGH Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: 4 Comments on population equivalent Comments on population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Private Well/Borehole Group Well/Borehole Private Well/Borehole Group Well/Borehole Coroup Well/Borehole 2.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application) Soil Type, (Specify Type): PEAT Subsoil, (Specify Type): PEAT PEAT Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Poor PI Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low I Groundwater Body: Status
Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: Auritian of population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Comments on population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Comments on population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Comments on population equivalent Soil Type, (Specify Type): PEAT Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor Pi Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Soil Condewater Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI Soil Condewater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE
Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Coup Well/Borehole Peat Subsoil, (Specify Type): Peat Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor Pl Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Coup Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: Coc Si So Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area:
Mains Private Well/Borehole Group Well/Borehole Correction Control Soil Type, (Specify Type): PEAT Subsoil, (Specify Type): PEAT Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS
2.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application) Soil Type, (Specify Type): PEAT Subsoil, (Specify Type): Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area:
Soil Type, (Specify Type): PEAT Subsoil, (Specify Type): PEAT Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Groundwater Body: Status Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Body: SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE
Subsoil, (Specify Type): PEAT Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Status Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Past experience in the area: NONE
Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low ✔ Groundwater Body: Status
Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Constant Groundwater Body: Status Status Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE
Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Groundwater Body: Status Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE
Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE
Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within Fikm. Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE
Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area:
Past experience in the area: NONE
Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions).
THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.

3.1 Visual Assessment

Landscape Position:	SITE IS A BROWNFIELD INDUST	RIAL SITE	
Slope:	Steep (>1:5)	Shallow (1:5-1:20)	Relatively Flat (<1:20)
Slope Comment			

Surface Features within a minimum of 250m (Distance To Features Should Be Noted In Metres)

Houses:

SITE IS A BROWNFIELD INDUSTRIAL SITE WITH A NUMBER OF OFFICE AND STORAGE BUILDINGS.
Existing Land Use:
INDUSTRIAL PEAT EXTRACTION
vegetation indicators:
N/A

Groundwater Flow Direction:

Ground Condition:

N/A

Site Boundaries:

N/A

3.1 Visual Assessment (contd.)

Roads:

SITE FRONTS ONTO THE REGIONAL ROAD R400

Outcrops (Bedrock And/Or Subsoil):

NONE

Surface Water Ponding:

NONE

Lakes:

NONE

Beaches/Shellfish Areas:

NONE

Wetlands:

PEATLANDS TO THE REAR OF SITE

Karst Features:

NONE

Watercourses/Streams:*

PEATLANDS TO THE REAR OF SITE

3.1 Visual Assessment (contd.)

Drainage Ditches:*

PEATLANDS TO THE REAR OF SITE

Springs:*

Wells:*

Comments:

(Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, the suitability of the site to treat the wastewater and the location of the proposed system within the site).

THE SITE IS A BROWNFIELD SITE. THE FRONT OF THE SITE HAS BEEN INFILLED WITH IMPORTED SOIL TO THE FRONT OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDINGS AND YARD.

PROPOSE TO DIG TRIAL HOLES ON EDGE OF PEATLANDS TO THE REAR OF THE YARD ADJOINING THE PEATLANDS.

3.2 Trial Hole (should be a minimum of 2.1m deep (3m for regionally important aquifers))

To avoid any accidental damage, a trial hole assessment or percolation tests should not be undertaken in areas which are at or adjacent to significant sites, (e.g. NHAs, SACs, SPAs, and/or Archaeological etc.), without prior advice from National Parks and Wildlife Service or the Heritage Service.

Depth of trial hole (m): 3.0											
Depth from ground surfaceDepth from ground surfaceo bedrock (m) (if present):to water table (m) (if present):1.3											
Depth of water ingress: 1.3 Rock type (if present):											
Date and time of excavation: 18-Jul-2023 11:30 Date and time of examination: 20-Jul-2023 09:23											
Depth of Surface and											
Subsurface	Soil/Subsoil										
Percolation	Texture &	Plasticitv and	Soil	Densitv/	Colour****	Preferential					
Tests	Classification**	dilatancv***	Structure	Compactness		flowpaths					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····					
0.1 m	300MM IMPORTED			COMPACT	BROWN	NONE					
0.2 m	10PSOIL				DARK						
0.5 m	200MM OF ORGINAL				BROWN						
0.5 m	PEAT										
0.6 m											
0.7 m	1000MM OF CLAY										
0.8 m											
0.9 m		THREADS: 5NR	STRUCTURE	UNCOMPACT/SOFT	BROWN						
1.0 m		RIBBONS:100mm	LESS								
1.1 m		DILATENT : YES	MASSIVE								
1.2 m											
1.3 m											
1.4 m											
1.5 m	CLAY				CDEV						
1.6 m	CLAY				BROWN						
1.7 m											
1.8 m				UNCOMPACT/SOFT							
1.9 m											
2.0 m											
2.1 m											
2.2 III											
2.3 m											
2.4 m											
2.6 m											
2.0 m											
2.8 m											
2.9 m											
3.0 m	HOLE AT 3.0										
3.1 m											
3.2 m											
3.3 m											
3.4 m											
3.5 m											

Likely Subsurface Percolation Value:

Likely Surface Percolation Value:

Note: *Depth of percolation test holes should be indicated on log above. ('Enter Surface or Subsurface at depths as appropriate). ** See Appendix E for BS 5930 classification.

40

120

*** 3 samples to be tested for each horizon and results should be entered above for each horizon.

**** All signs of mottling should be recorded.

3.2 Trial Hole (contd.) Evaluation:

THE TRIAL HOLE WAS EXCAVATED TO 3.0 METRES. THE TRIAL HOLE INDICATED APPROXIMATELY 300MM OF IMPORTED INFILL SOIL ON TOP OF THE EXISTING THIN PEAT LAYER OF 200MM WHICH OVERLAIDS A DEEP CLAY LAYER.

THE TRIAL HOLE HAD WATER INGRESS.

THE WATER TABLE STABLISED AT 1.3 METRES BELOW GROUND LEVEL.

3.3(a) Subsurface Percolation Test for Subsoil

Step 1: Test Hole Preparation

Percolation Tes	t Hole	1	2		3	
Depth from grout to top of hole (mi	nd surface m) (A)	1,000		1,000	1,0)00
Depth from grout to base of hole (r	nd surface mm) (B)	1,400		1,400	1,4	100
Depth of hole (m	m) [B - A]	400		400	4	100
Dimensions of he [length x breadth	ole 1 (mm)]	300 _X 300	300 x	300	300 x	300
Step 2: Pre-Soal	king Test Holes	5				
Pre-soak start	Date Time	18-Jul-2023 11:50	18-Jul-2023 10:50		18-Jul-2023 10:50	
2nd pre-soak start	Date Time	18-Jul-2023 16:15	18-Jul-2023 16:15		18-Jul-2023 16:15	
Each hole should	l be pre-soaked	d twice before the test is ca	rried out.			
Step 3: Measurir	ng T ₁₀₀					
Percolation Tes	t Hole No.	1	2		3	
Date of test						
Time filled to 400) mm					
Time water level	at 300 mm					
Time (min.) to drop	o 100 mm (T ₁₀₀)	0.00		0.00	().00
Average T ₁₀₀					().00

If $T_{100} > 480$ minutes then Subsurface Percolation value >120 – site unsuitable for discharge to ground If $T_{100} \leq 210$ minutes then go to Step 4;

If T_{100}^{100} > 210 minutes then go to Step 5;

Step 4: Standard Method (where $T_{_{100}} \leq 210$ minutes)

Percolation Test Hole		1			2			3	
Fill no.	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆t (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆t (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆t (min)
1			0.00			0.00			0.00
2			0.00			0.00			0.00
3 Average ∆t Value			0.00			0.00			0.00
Result of Te	Average [Hole No. ⁻ st: Subsurf	1]	0.00 (t ₁) lation Value =	Average [Hole No.	∆t/4 = .2]	0.00 (t ₂)	Average [Hole No 5 mm)	∆t/4 = .3]	0.00 (t ₃)

Comments:

THE SUBURFACE TEST HOLES WERE STILL FULL WITH WATER THE FOLLOWING DAY. THE WATER HAD DROPPED A MAXIMUM OF 20 MM ON THE FOLLOWING DAY.

Step 5: Modified Method (where $T_{100} > 210$ minutes)

Percolation Test Hole No.		1						Percolation Test Hole No.		2				
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}		Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}
300 - 250 250 - 200 200 - 150	8.1 9.7 11.9			0.00 0.00 0.00				300 - 250 250 - 200 200 - 150	8.1 9.7 11.9			0.00		
150 - 100 Average	14.1 T- Value	e	T- Value	0.00 e Hole 1	= (T ₁)	0.00		150 - 100 Average	T- Value	e	T- Value	0.00 e Hole 2	= (T ₂)	0.00
Percolation Test Hole No.		3						Result of Te	est: Sub	surface (Percol	ation Va min/25	alue = mm)	
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Tim§e hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}	-	Comments:						
300 - 250	8.1			0.00										
250 - 200	9.7			0.00										
200 - 150	11.9			0.00										
150 - 100	14.1			0.00										
Average	T- Value	Ð	T- Value	e Hole 3	= (T ₂)	0.00								

3.3(b) Surface Percolation Test for Soil

Step 1: Test Hole Preparation

Percolation Test Hole	1 	2	3
Depth from ground surface to top of hole (mm)	0	0	0
Depth from ground surface to base of hole (mm)	400	400	400
Depth of hole (mm)	400	400	400
Dimensions of hole [length x breadth (mm)]	300 × 300	300 × 300	300 × 300

Step 2: Pre-Soaking Test Holes

Pre-soak start	Date	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023
	Time	11:55	11:55	11:55
2nd pre-soak	Date	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023
start	Time	16:20	16:20	16:20

Each hole should be pre-soaked twice before the test is carried out.

Step 3: Measuring T₁₀₀

	1	2	3
Percolation Test Hole No.		[]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Date of test	19-Jul-23	19-Jul-23	19-Jul-2023
Time filled to 400 mm	09:52	09:53	09:54
Time water level at 300 mm	12:25	12:00	10:42
Time to drop 100 mm (T ₁₀₀)	153.00	127.00	48.00
Average T			109.33

Average T₁₀₀

If $T_{_{100}} > 480$ minutes then Surface Percolation value >90 – site unsuitable for discharge to ground If $T_{_{100}} \le 210$ minutes then go to Step 4; If $T_{_{100}} > 210$ minutes then go to Step 5;

Step 4: Standard Method (where $T_{_{100}} \leq 210$ minutes)

Percolation Test Hole		1			2			3	
Fill no.	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆T (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆T (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆T (min)
1			0.00			0.00			0.00
2			0.00			0.00			0.00
3 Average ∆T Value			0.00			0.00			0.00
Average ∆T/4 = [Hole No.1] 0.00 (T,)				Average / [Hole No.	∆T/4 = .2]	0.00 (T ₂)	Average [Hole No	ΔT/4 = .3]	0.00 (T ₃)
Result of Te	Result of Test: Surface Percolation Value = 0.00 (min/25 mm)								

Comments:

THE WATER IN THE 3 TEST HOLES DROPPED MARGINALLY OVER SEVERAL HOUR AFTER THE FIRST 100MM DROP. THE TOPLAYER OF IMPORTED SOIL IS COMPACTED.

Step 5: Modified Method (where $T_{100} > 210$ minutes)

Percolation							Percolation						
Test Hole No.		1					Test Hole No.		2				
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}	Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _r	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}
300 - 250	8.1			0.00			300 - 250	8.1			0.00		
250 - 200	9.7			0.00			250 - 200	9.7			0.00		
200 - 150	11.9			0.00			200 - 150	11.9			0.00		
150 - 100	14.1			0.00			150 - 100	14.1			0.00		
Average	T- Value	e	T- Value	e Hole 1	= (T ₁)	0.00	Average Result of	T- Valu	e urface I	T- Valu Percolat	e Hole 2	= (T ₂) Je =	0.00
Percolation Test Hole No.		3							(0.00 (min/25	mm)	
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}	Comments:						
300 - 250	8.1			0.00									
250 - 200	9.7			0.00									
200 - 150	11.9			0.00									
150 - 100	14.1			0.00									
Average	T- Value	e	T- Value	e Hole 3	= (T ₂)	0.00							

3.4 The following associated Maps, Drawings and Photographs should be appended to this site characterisation form.

- 1. Discovery Series 1:50,000 Map indicating overall drainage, groundwater flow direction and housing density in the area.
- 2. Supporting maps for vulnerability, aquifer classification, soil, subsoil, bedrock.
- 3. North point should always be included.
- 4. (a) Scaled sketch of site showing measurements to Trial Hole location and
 - (b) Percolation Test Hole locations,
 - (c) wells and
 - (d) direction of groundwater flow (if known),
 - (e) proposed house (incl. distances from boundaries)
 - (f) adjacent houses,
 - (g) watercourses,
 - (h) significant sites
 - (i) and other relevant features.
- Site specific cross sectional drawing of the site and the proposed layout¹ should be submitted.
- 6. Photographs of the trial hole, test holes and site including landmarks (date and time referenced).
- 7. Pumped design must be designed by a suitably qualified person.

¹ The calculated percolation area or polishing filter area should be set out accurately on the site layout drawing in accordance with the code of practice's requirements.

4.0 CONCLUSION of SITE CHARACTERISATION

Integrate the information from the desk study and on-site assessment (i.e. visual assessment, trial hole and percolation tests) above and conclude the type of system(s) that is (are) appropriate. This information is also used to choose the optimum final disposal route of the treated wastewater.

Slope of proposed infiltration / treatment area:	
Are all minimum separation distances met?	
Depth of unsaturated soil and/or subsoil beneath inv (or drip tubing in the case of drip dispersal system)	vert of gravel
Percolation test result: Surface:	Sub-surface:
Not Suitable for Development	Suitable for Development
Identify all suitable options	Discharge Route ¹
1. Septic tank system (septic tank and percolation area) (Chapter 7)	
 Secondary Treatment System (Chapters 8 and 9) and soil polishing filter (Section 10.1) 	
 Tertiary Treatment System and Infiltration / treatment area (Section 10.2) 	

5.0 SELECTED DWWTS

Propose to install:	
and discharge to:	
Invert level of the trench	/bed gravel or drip tubing (m)
Site Specific Conditions	(e.g. special works, site improvement works testing etc.
THERE WAS EVIDENCE	OF A WATER TABLE AT 1.3 METRES BELOW GROUND LEVEL.
THE SURFACE TESTS IN	IDICATED ARE VERY POOR DRAINING COMPACTED TOPLAYER.
THE SUBSOIL IS A CLAY WATER 48 HOURS LATE	, THE SUBSURFACE HOLES DID NOT DRAIN OVERNIGHT AND WERE STILL RETAINING R.

¹ A discharge of sewage effluent to "waters" (definition includes any or any part of any river, stream, lake, canal, reservoir, aquifer, pond, watercourse or other inland waters, whether natural or artificial) will require a licence under the Water Pollution Acts 1977-90. Refer to Section 2.4.

6.0 TREATMENT SYSTEM DETAILS

SYSTEM TYPE: Septie	c Tank System	ns (Chapter 7)		
Tank Capacity (m ³)	P	ercolation Area		Mounded Percolation Area
	Ν	o. of Trenches		No. of Trenches
	L	ength of Trenches (m)		Length of Trenches (m)
	In	ivert Level (m)		Invert Level (m)
SYSTEM TYPE: Seco	ndary Treatme	ent System (Chapter	rs 8 and 9) and p	polishing filter (Section 10.1)
Secondary Treatment (Chapter 8)	t Systems rec	eiving septic tank e	ffluent	Packaged Secondary Treatment Systems receiving raw wastewater (Chapter 9)
Media Type	Area (m²)*	Depth of Filter	Invert Level	Туре
Sand/Soil				
Soil				Capacity PE
Constructed Wetland				Sizing of Primary Compartme
Other				m ³
Polishing Filter*: (Se Surface Area (m ²)* Option 1 - Direct Disch Surface area (m ²) Option 2 - Pumped Dis Surface area (m ²)	ction 10.1) harge scharge		Option 3 - G Trench lengt Option 4 - Lo Pipe Distribu Trench lengt Option 5 - D Surface area	Aravity Discharge th (m) ow Pressure ution th (m) Drip Dispersal a (m ²)
SYSTEM TYPE: Tertia	ry Treatment	System and infiltrat	ion / treatment	area (Section 10.2)
Identify purpose of ter- treatment	tiary	Provide performand demonstrating syst required treatment	ce information em will provide levels	Provide design information
DISCHARGE ROUTE:				
Groundwater	Hydraulic Lo	pading Rate * (l/m².d)		Surface area (m ²)
Surface Water **	Discharge F	Rate (m³/hr)		

 * Hydraulic loading rate is determined by the percolation rate of subsoil

** Water Pollution Act discharge licence required

6.0 TREATMENT SYSTEM DETAILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE:

Installation & Commissioning

On-going Maintenance

7.0 SITE ASSESSOR DETAILS

Company: BRENDAN SLEVIN & ASSOCIATES							
Prefix:	First Name: BRENDAN Surname: SLEVIN						
Address:	NO 7 MILLCOURT BRIDGE STREET GORT CO GALWAY						
Qualificatio	ons/Experience: CHARTERED ENGINEER FAS CERTIFIED SITE ASSESSOR						
Date of Re	port: 08-Aug-2023						
Phone: 091 630199 E-mail brendanslevin1@gmail.com							
Indemnity Insurance Number: API0003681							
Signature:	BREMAN SLEUIN						



























DERRYARKIN

STOWN

			Bedrock Geology 500k
			▶ Bedrock 100k Sections
			▶ Bedrock Geology 100k
			▶
	Bedrock Aquifer		Groundwater Catchment and WFD
	AquiferCat LI		Management Units
	Aquifer Locally Important Aquifer - Bedrock which is Moderately Productive only in Local Zones	GARR	▶ 🗹 Groundwater Resources (Aquifers)
DERRYGREENAGH	Area (km2) 17,808.41		Groundwater Bedrock Geology
	Zoom to •••		▶ Groundwater Recharge
			▶
			▶
			▶ Teagasc Soils
		SR	▶ INFOMAR Bathymetry (Water Depth to Seafloor) 10m
			▶ INFOMAR Bathymetry (Water Depth to Seafloor) 25m
			▶ INFOMAR Bathymetry (Water Depth to Seafloor) 100m
	DERRYIRON		▶ OSI Boundaries
			Bedrock100k_Seamless_2018 - BEDROCK.Lexicon_Polygons_2018
		COOLCOR	Bedrock100k_Seamless_2018 - BEDROCK.Lexicon Linework 2018

Bedrock Geology 1 Million









13/04/23 DATE

Checked by BS

Dwg. No.

proved by BS

SITE CHARACTERISATION FORM

COMPLETING THE FORM

	Note	This form requires the latest version of Adobe Acrobat Reader
		and on PC's Windows 7 or later. Windows XP produces errors in calculations
Step	o 1:	
		Goto Menu Item File, Save As and save the file under a reference relating to the client or the planning application reference if available.
Clear	r Form	Use the Clear Form button to clear all information fields.

Notes:

All calculations in this form are automatic.

Where possible information is presented in the form of drop down selection lists to eliminate potential errors.

Variable elements are recorded by tick boxes. In all cases only one tick box should be activated.

All time record fields must be entered in twenty four hour format as follows: HH:MM

All date formats are DD-MM-YYYY.

All other data fields are in text entry format.

This form can be printed out fully populated for submission with related documents and for your files. It can also be submitted by email.

- **Section 3.2** In this section use an underline _____ across all six columns to indicate the depth at which changes in classification / characteristics occur.
- Section 3.4 Lists supporting documentation required.
- **Section 4** Select the treatment systems suitable for this site and the discharge route.
- **Section 5** Indicate the system type that it is proposed to install.
- **Section 6** Provide details, as required, on the proposed treatment system.

APPENDIX A: SITE CHARACTERISATION FORM

File Reference:

Prefix: First Name: IRISH DRILLING Sumame: Address: Site Location and Townland: Image: Site Location and Townland: TRIAL HOLE NUMBER 1 (FRONT OF SITE) DERRYGREENAGH Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: Image: Site Location and Townland: Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: Image: Site Location and Townland: Proposed Water Supply: Maximum Number of Residents: Image: Site Location and Townland: Proposed Water Supply: Maximum Number of Residents: Image: Site Location and Townland: Proposed Water Supply: Maximum Number of Residents: Image: Site Location and Townland: Proposed Water Supply: Maximum Number of Residents: Image: Site Location and Townland: Soli Type, (Specify Type): Image: Site Location and Townland: Site Location and Townland: Subsoli, (Specify Type): Image: Site Location and Townland: Site Location and Townland: Subsoli, (Specify Type): Image: Site Location and Townland: Poor Pl Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Locally Important Poor Pl Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Image: Site Location Response: Rt	1.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application)							
Address: Site Location and Townland: TRIAL HOLE NUMBER 1 (FRONT OF SITE) DERRYGREENAGH Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: 4 Comments on population equivalent Comments on population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Group Well/Borehole Proposed Water Supply: Group Well/Borehole Comments on population equivalent Group Well/Borehole 2.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application) Sold Status Sold Type, (Specify Type): MADE GROUND Subsoil, (Specify Type): Status Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low 🖌 Groundwater Body: Status	Prefix: First Name: IRISH DRILLING Surname:							
TRIAL HOLE NUMBER 1 (FRONT OF SITE) DERRYGREENAGH Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: 4 Comments on population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Comments on population equivalent Group Well/Borehole Comments Group Well/Borehole Comments Group Kell/Borehole Soli Type, (Specify Type): Bedrock Type: Water Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: Rt Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: Integrate the information above in order to comment	Address: Site Location and Townland:							
Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: 4 Comments on population equivalent Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole Comments on population equivalent Soli Type, (Specify Type): MADE GROUND Subsoli, (Specify Type): Bedrock Type: Viscan LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Source Protection Area: ZOC Sol Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: Image Row Monter In the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions. THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE SITE SING ARE SUBRACEWATERS.	TRIAL HOLE NUMBER 1 (FRONT OF SITE)							
Proposed Water Supply: Mains Private Well/Borehole QCO GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application) Soil Type, (Specify Type): MaDE GROUND Subsoil, (Specify Type): Bedrock Type: Vulnerability: Regionally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Love Groundwater Body: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential alle restrictions. THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Number of Bedrooms: Maximum Number of Residents: 4							
Mains Private Well/Borehole Group Well/Borehole 2.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application) Soil Type, (Specify Type): MADE GROUND Subsoil, (Specify Type): MADE GROUND Subsoil, (Specify Type): Bedrock Type: Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Groundwater Body: Status	Proposed Water Supply:							
2.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application) Soil Type, (Specify Type): MADE GROUND Subsoil, (Specify Type): Bedrock Type: WISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor Pl Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITA SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Mains Private Well/Borehole Group Well/Borehole							
Soil Type, (Specify Type): MADE GROUND Subsoil, (Specify Type):	2.0 GENERAL DETAILS (From planning application)							
Subsoil, (Specify Type): Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low ✓ Groundwater Body: Status	Soil Type, (Specify Type): MADE GROUND							
Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low ✔ Groundwater Body: Status	Subsoil, (Specify Type):							
Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Groundwater Body: Status Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Bedrock Type: VISEAN LIMESTONE & CALCAREOUS SHALE							
Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low Groundwater Body: Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Aquifer Category: Regionally Important Locally Important Poor PI							
Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km: Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: Image: None (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Vulnerability: Extreme High Moderate Low 🖌							
Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1 Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Name of Public/Group Scheme Water Supply within 1 km:							
Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Source Protection Area: ZOC SI SO Groundwater Protection Response: R1							
Past experience in the area: NONE Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Presence of Significant Sites (Archaeological, Natural & Historical): SITE IS LOCATED ON PEAT LANDS							
Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions). THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Past experience in the area: NONE							
THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.	Comments: (Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, and/or any potential site restrictions).							
	THE SITE IS AN EXISTING BROWNFIELD SITE INDUSTRIAL SITE. THE PROPOSAL IS TO CARRY OUT AN ASSESSMENT TO DETERMINE THE SITE SUITABILITY TO TREAT AND DISPOSE OF WASTEWATER ON SITE THROUGH GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE. THE TARGETS AT RISK ARE SURFACEWATERS.							

3.1 Visual Assessment

Landscape Position:	SITE IS A BROWNFIELD INDUST	RIAL SITE	
Slope:	Steep (>1:5)	Shallow (1:5-1:20)	Relatively Flat (<1:20)
Slope Comment			

Surface Features within a minimum of 250m (Distance To Features Should Be Noted In Metres)

Houses:

SITE IS A BROWNFIELD INDUSTRIAL SITE WITH A NUMBER OF OFFICE AND STORAGE BUILDINGS.						
Existing Land Use:						
INDUSTRIAL PEAT EXTRACTION						
vegetation indicators:						
N/A						

Groundwater Flow Direction:

Ground Condition:

N/A

Site Boundaries:

N/A

3.1 Visual Assessment (contd.)

Roads:

SITE FRONTS ONTO THE REGIONAL ROAD R400

Outcrops (Bedrock And/Or Subsoil):

NONE

Surface Water Ponding:

NONE

Lakes:

NONE

Beaches/Shellfish Areas:

NONE

Wetlands:

PEATLANDS TO THE REAR OF SITE

Karst Features:

NONE

Watercourses/Streams:*

PEATLANDS TO THE REAR OF SITE

3.1 Visual Assessment (contd.)

Drainage Ditches:*

PEATLANDS TO THE REAR OF SITE

Springs:*

Wells:*

Comments:

(Integrate the information above in order to comment on: the potential suitability of the site, potential targets at risk, the suitability of the site to treat the wastewater and the location of the proposed system within the site).

THE SITE IS A BROWNFIELD SITE. THE FRONT OF THE SITE HAS BEEN INFILLED WITH IMPORTED SOIL TO THE FRONT OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDINGS AND YARD.

PROPOSE TO DIG TRIAL HOLES ON THE FRONT GREEN AREA AND TO THE REAR OF THE YARD ADJOINING THE PEATLANDS.

3.2 Trial Hole (should be a minimum of 2.1m deep (3m for regionally important aquifers))

To avoid any accidental damage, a trial hole assessment or percolation tests should not be undertaken in areas which are at or adjacent to significant sites, (e.g. NHAs, SACs, SPAs, and/or Archaeological etc.), without prior advice from National Parks and Wildlife Service or the Heritage Service.

Depth of trial hole (m): 3.6								
Depth from gr to bedrock (m	Depth from ground surface Depth from ground surface to bedrock (m) (if present): to water table (m) (if present):							
Depth of wate	r ingress:	Rock type	e (if present):					
Date and time	of excavation: 1	8-Jul-2023 1	0:00 Date a	nd time of examinat	tion: 20-Jul-2	023 10:00		
Depth of Surface and Subsurface Percolation Tests	Soil/Subsoil Texture & Classification**	Plasticity and dilatancy***	Soil Structure	Density/ Compactness	Colour****	Preferential flowpaths		
0.1 m	200MM IMPORTED TOPSOIL 300MM IMPORTED CLAY SUBSOIL 200MM LAYER OF ORGINAL PEAT SOIL			COMPACT	BROWN LIGHT BROWN DARK BROWN	NONE		
0.9 m 1.0 m 1.1 m 1.2 m 1.3 m 1.4 m 1.5 m 1.6 m	1000MM OF CLAY	THREADS: 5NR RIBBONS:100mm DILATENT : YES	STRUCTURE LESS MASSIVE	UNCOMPACT/SOFT	GREY BROWN WITH MOTTLING			
1.7 m	CLAYgravel BOTTOM OF TRIAL HOLE AT 3.6	THREADS: 5NR RIBBONS:100mm DILATENT : YES		UNCOMPACT/SOFT	GREY BROWN			

Likely Subsurface Percolation Value:

Likely Surface Percolation Value:

Note: *Depth of percolation test holes should be indicated on log above. ('Enter Surface or Subsurface at depths as appropriate). ** See Appendix E for BS 5930 classification.

30

90

*** 3 samples to be tested for each horizon and results should be entered above for each horizon.

**** All signs of mottling should be recorded.

3.2 Trial Hole (contd.) Evaluation:

THE TRIAL HOLE WAS EXCAVATED TO 3.6 METRES. THE TRIAL HOLE INDICATED APPROXIMATELY 500MM OF IMPORTED INFILL SOIL ON TOP OF THE EXISTING THIN PEAT LAYER OF 200MM WHICH OVERLAIDS A 1 METRE DEEP CLAY LAYER WITH MOTTLING EVIDENT. UNDER THIS LAYER WAS A CLAYGRAVEL LAYER. PROPOSE TO CARRY OUT SUBSURFACE TESTS IN THIS LAYER.								
3.3(a) Subsurface Percolation	n Test for Subsoil							
Step 1: Test Hole Preparation								
Percolation Test Hole	1	2	3					
Depth from ground surface to top of hole (mm) (A)	1,100	1,100	1,100					
Depth from ground surface to base of hole (mm) (B)	1,500	1,500	1,500					
Depth of hole (mm) [B - A]	400	400	400					
Dimensions of hole [length x breadth (mm)]	300 _X 300	300 x 300	300 x 300					

Step 2: Pre-Soaking Test Holes

Pre-soak start	Date Time	18-Jul-2023 10:30	18-Jul-2023 10:30	18-Jul-2023 10:30
2nd pre-soak start	Date Time	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023
otart	11110	16:00	16:00	16:00

Each hole should be pre-soaked twice before the test is carried out.

Step 3: Measuring T₁₀₀

Percolation Test Hole No.	1	2	3
Date of test	19-07-2023	19-07-2023	19-07-2023
Time filled to 400 mm	10:17	10:19	10:22
Time water level at 300 mm	11:35		10:50
Time (min.) to drop 100 mm (T ₁₀₀)	78.00	821.00	28.00
Average T ₁₀₀			309.00

If $T_{100} > 480$ minutes then Subsurface Percolation value >120 – site unsuitable for discharge to ground

If $T_{100} \leq 210$ minutes then go to Step 4; If $T_{100} > 210$ minutes then go to Step 5;

Step 4: Standard Method (where $T_{_{100}} \leq 210$ minutes)

Percolation Test Hole		1			2			3	
Fill no.	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆t (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆t (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆t (min)
1	11:35	13:43	128.00			0.00	10:50	11:30	40.00
2	13:44	15:58	134.00			0.00	11:32	12:47	75.00
3	15:59	18:12	133.00			0.00	12:50	14:12	82.00
Average ∆t Value			131.67			0.00			65.67
	Average ∆t [Hole No.1]	/4 =	32.92 (t ₁)	Average [Hole No.2	.t/4 = 2]	0.00 (t ₂)	Average ∆t [Hole No.3]	/4 =	16.42 (t ₃)
Result of Te	st: Subsurfa	ice Percola	tion Value =	:		16.44 (min/25	ō mm)		

Comments:

THE PERCOLATION RATE WAS INCONSISTENT BETWEEN THE HOLES. TEST HOLE 2 HAD A VALUE GREATER 120 WITH VERY LITTLE MOVEMENT AND WAS STILL RETAINING WATER AFTER PRE SOAKING.THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF WATER INGRESS INTO THE HOLE AT 3.6 METRES DEEP.

Step 5: Modified Method (where $T_{100} > 210$ minutes)

Percolation Test Hole No.		1					Percolation Test Hole No.		2				
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}	Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}
300 - 250 250 - 200 200 - 150 150 - 100	8.1 9.7 11.9			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00			300 - 250 250 - 200 200 - 150 150 - 100	8.1 9.7 11.9			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		
Average	T- Value	e	T- Value	e Hole 1	= (T ₁)	0.00	Average Result of Te	T- Value	e surface	T- Value Percol	e Hole 2 ation Va	$= (T_2)$ alue =	0.00
Percolation Test Hole No.		3							(0.00 (min/25	mm)	
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Tim§e hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}	Comments:						
300 - 250 250 - 200 200 - 150 150 - 100	8.1 9.7 11.9 14.1			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00									
Average	T- Value	e	T- Value	e Hole 3	= (T ₂)	0.00							

3.3(b) Surface Percolation Test for Soil

Step 1: Test Hole Preparation

Percolation Test Hole	1 	2	3
Depth from ground surface to top of hole (mm)	0	0	0
Depth from ground surface to base of hole (mm)	400	400	400
Depth of hole (mm)	400	400	400
Dimensions of hole [length x breadth (mm)]	300 × 300	300 × 300	300 × 300

Step 2: Pre-Soaking Test Holes

Pre-soak start	Date	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023
	Time	10:05	10:05	10:05
2nd pre-soak	Date	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023	18-Jul-2023
start	Time	16:00	16:00	16:00

Each hole should be pre-soaked twice before the test is carried out.

Step 3: Measuring T₁₀₀

	1	2	3
Percolation Test Hole No.		I	
Date of test	19-Jul-23	19-Jul-23	19-Jul-2023
Time filled to 400 mm	10:14	10:14	10:14
Time water level at 300 mm	11:50	11:41	13:05
Time to drop 100 mm (T ₁₀₀)	96.00	87.00	171.00
Average T ₁₀₀			118.00

If $\rm T_{_{100}}>480$ minutes then Surface Percolation value $>\!90$ – site unsuitable for discharge to ground

If $T_{100} \le 210$ minutes then go to Step 4; If $T_{100} > 210$ minutes then go to Step 5;

Step 4: Standard Method (where $T_{_{100}} \leq 210$ minutes)

Percolation Test Hole		1			2			3	
Fill no.	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆T (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆T (min)	Start Time (at 300 mm)	Finish Time (at 200 mm)	∆T (min)
1			0.00			0.00			0.00
2			0.00			0.00			0.00
3 Average ∆T Value			0.00			0.00			0.00
	Average ∆ [Hole No.1]	T/4 =]	0.00 (T ₁)	Average / [Hole No.	∆T/4 = 2]	0.00 (T ₂)	Average [Hole No	ΔT/4 = .3]	0.00 (T ₃)
Result of Te	st: Surface	Percolatic	on Value =		0.00) (min/25 mr	n)		

Comments:

THE WATER IN THE 3 TEST HOLES DROPPED MARGINALLY OVER SEVERAL HOUR AFTER THE FIRST 100MM DROP. THE FIRST 100MM IS ACCOUNTED FOR DUE TO HTE IMPORTED TOPSOIL. BELOW THIS TOPLAYER IS A CLAY SUBSOIL.

Step 5: Modified Method (where $T_{100} > 210$ minutes)

Percolation Test Hole No.		1					Percolation Test Hole No.		2				
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}	Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{rs}
300 - 250 250 - 200	8.1 9.7			0.00			300 - 250 250 - 200	8.1 9.7			0.00		
200 - 150 150 - 100	<u>11.9</u> 14.1			0.00			200 - 150 150 - 100	<u>11.9</u> 14.1			0.00		
Average	T- Value	9	T- Value	e Hole 1	= (T ₁)	0.00	Average	T- Valu	е	T- Valu	e Hole 2	= (T ₂)	0.00
Percolation Test Hole No.		3					Result of	Test: Si	urface F	Percolat	tion Valu min/25 i	ue = mm)	
Fall of water in hole (mm)	Time Factor = T _f	Start Time hh:mm	Finish Time hh:mm	Time of fall (mins) = T _m	K _{fs} = T _f / T _m	T – Value = 4.45 / K _{fs}	Comments:						
300 - 250 250 - 200 200 - 150	8.1 9.7			0.00 0.00 0.00									
150 - 100	14.1			0.00									
Average	T- Value	9	T- Value	e Hole 3	= (T ₂)	0.00							

3.4 The following associated Maps, Drawings and Photographs should be appended to this site characterisation form.

- 1. Discovery Series 1:50,000 Map indicating overall drainage, groundwater flow direction and housing density in the area.
- 2. Supporting maps for vulnerability, aquifer classification, soil, subsoil, bedrock.
- 3. North point should always be included.
- 4. (a) Scaled sketch of site showing measurements to Trial Hole location and
 - (b) Percolation Test Hole locations,
 - (c) wells and
 - (d) direction of groundwater flow (if known),
 - (e) proposed house (incl. distances from boundaries)
 - (f) adjacent houses,
 - (g) watercourses,
 - (h) significant sites
 - (i) and other relevant features.
- Site specific cross sectional drawing of the site and the proposed layout¹ should be submitted.
- 6. Photographs of the trial hole, test holes and site including landmarks (date and time referenced).
- 7. Pumped design must be designed by a suitably qualified person.

¹ The calculated percolation area or polishing filter area should be set out accurately on the site layout drawing in accordance with the code of practice's requirements.

4.0 CONCLUSION of SITE CHARACTERISATION

Integrate the information from the desk study and on-site assessment (i.e. visual assessment, trial hole and percolation tests) above and conclude the type of system(s) that is (are) appropriate. This information is also used to choose the optimum final disposal route of the treated wastewater.

Slop	be of proposed infiltration / treatment area:		
Are	all minimum separation distances met?		
Dep (or c	th of unsaturated soil and/or subsoil beneath Irip tubing in the case of drip dispersal system	invert of grave 1)	
Perc	colation test result: Surface:	Sub-surface:	
Not	Suitable for Development		Suitable for Development
Ider	ntify all suitable options		Discharge Route ¹
1.	Septic tank system (septic tank and percolation area) (Chapter 7)		
2.	Secondary Treatment System (Chapters 8 and 9) and soil polishing filter (Section 10.1)		
3.	Tertiary Treatment System and Infiltration / treatment area (Section 10.2)		
_			

5.0 SELECTED DWWTS

Propose to install:			
and discharge to:			
Invert level of the trenc	h/bed gravel or drip tubing (m)]	

Site Specific Conditions (e.g. special works, site improvement works testing etc.

THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF A WATER TABLE OR WATER INGRESS INTO THE TRIAL HOLE. THE PERCOLATION RATE IN THE CLAYGRAVEL LAYER 1100MM BELOW GROUND WAS IN THE EXPECTED RANGE EXPECT FOR 1 TEST HOLE WHICH WAS INCONSISTENT WITH THE OTHER 2.

THE SURFACE PERCOLATION IS POOR ON THE IMPORTED CALY SUBSOIL WHICH OVERLAYS THE PEAT LAYER.

¹ A discharge of sewage effluent to "waters" (definition includes any or any part of any river, stream, lake, canal, reservoir, aquifer, pond, watercourse or other inland waters, whether natural or artificial) will require a licence under the Water Pollution Acts 1977-90. Refer to Section 2.4.

6.0 TREATMENT SYSTEM DETAILS

SYSTEM TYPE: Septie	c Tank System	ns (Chapter 7)		
Tank Capacity (m ³)	P	ercolation Area		Mounded Percolation Area
	Ν	o. of Trenches		No. of Trenches
	L	ength of Trenches (m)		Length of Trenches (m)
	In	ivert Level (m)		Invert Level (m)
SYSTEM TYPE: Seco	ndary Treatme	ent System (Chapter	rs 8 and 9) and p	polishing filter (Section 10.1)
Secondary Treatment (Chapter 8)	t Systems rec	eiving septic tank e	ffluent	Packaged Secondary Treatment Systems receiving raw wastewater (Chapter 9)
Media Type	Area (m²)*	Depth of Filter	Invert Level	Туре
Sand/Soil				
Soil				Capacity PE
Constructed Wetland				Sizing of Primary Compartme
Other				m ³
Polishing Filter*: (Se Surface Area (m ²)* Option 1 - Direct Disch Surface area (m ²) Option 2 - Pumped Dis Surface area (m ²)	ction 10.1) harge scharge		Option 3 - G Trench lengt Option 4 - Lo Pipe Distribu Trench lengt Option 5 - D Surface area	Aravity Discharge th (m) ow Pressure ution th (m) Drip Dispersal a (m ²)
SYSTEM TYPE: Tertia	ry Treatment	System and infiltrat	ion / treatment	area (Section 10.2)
Identify purpose of ter- treatment	tiary	Provide performand demonstrating syst required treatment	ce information em will provide levels	Provide design information
DISCHARGE ROUTE:				
Groundwater	Hydraulic Lo	pading Rate * (l/m².d)		Surface area (m ²)
Surface Water **	Discharge F	Rate (m³/hr)		

 * Hydraulic loading rate is determined by the percolation rate of subsoil

** Water Pollution Act discharge licence required

6.0 TREATMENT SYSTEM DETAILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE:

Installation & Commissioning

On-going Maintenance

7.0 SITE ASSESSOR DETAILS

Company: BRENDAN SLEVIN & ASSOCIATES					
Prefix:	First Name: BRENDAN Surname: SLEVIN				
Address:	NO 7 MILLCOURT BRIDGE STREET GORT CO GALWAY				
Qualifications/Experience: CHARTERED ENGINEER FAS CERTIFIED SITE ASSESSOR					
Date of Report: 08-Aug-2023					
Phone:	091 630199 E-mail brendanslevin1@gmail.com				
Indemnity Insurance Number: API0003681					
Signature:	BREMAN SLEUIN				





















DERRYARKIN

STOWN

			Bedrock Geology 500k
			▶ Bedrock 100k Sections
			▶ Bedrock Geology 100k
			▶
	Bedrock Aquifer		Groundwater Catchment and WFD
	AquiferCat LI		Management Units
	Aquifer Locally Important Aquifer Description Bedrock which is Modera Productive only in Local	ately Zonen GARR	▶ 🔽 Groundwater Resources (Aquifers)
DERRYGREENAGH	Area (km2) 17,808.41		▶
	Zoom to		▶ Groundwater Recharge
			▶ Groundwater Vulnerability
			▶ Groundwater Subsoil Permeability
			▶ Teagasc Soils
			INFOMAR Bathymetry (Water Depth to Seafloor) 10m
			▶ ☐ INFOMAR Bathymetry (Water Depth to Seafloor) 25m
			▶
	DERRYIRON		▶ OSI Boundaries
			Bedrock100k_Seamless_2018 - BEDROCK.Lexicon_Polygons_2018
		COOLCOR	Bedrock100k_Seamless_2018 - BEDROCK.Lexicon_Linework_2018

Bedrock Geology 1 Million







